

*This homework is due by the end of the day on Friday, September 11  
Problems are on Sections 1.3 and 1.4, not including Bolzano-Weirstrass.*

**Problem 1** (From Problem 1.3.7 in the textbook). Suppose that  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$  is a field, and  $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ . We say that  $S$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$  if it is a field under the same addition and multiplication as  $\mathbb{F}$ . To show that  $S$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$ , it is enough to show that  $0 \in S$ ,  $1 \in S$ , and  $S$  is closed under addition, multiplication, taking additive inverses, and taking multiplicative inverses. The other field axioms are automatically true for multiplication and addition in  $S$ , since they are already true in  $\mathbb{F}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] = \{r + s\sqrt{2} \mid r, s \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ . Show that  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$ . (Hints: Note that  $r$  and  $s$  can be zero in  $r + s\sqrt{2}$ . To show that  $S$  is closed under taking multiplicative inverses of non-zero elements, you might need to show that if  $r + s\sqrt{2} \neq 0$ , then  $r^2 - 2s^2 \neq 0$ .)

**Problem 2** (Problem 1.3.11 from the textbook). Let  $(F, +, \cdot)$  be an ordered field. Use the definition of  $x < y$  and the order axioms to prove the transitive property of  $<$ . That is, show that for any  $a, b, c \in F$ , if  $a < b$  and  $b < c$ , then  $a < c$ . [Note: Since  $F$  is not necessarily  $\mathbb{R}$ , you can't use common facts that you know about  $\mathbb{R}$ . You can only use the actual definition and axioms.]

**Problem 3** (Problem 1.3.12 from the textbook. We proved that  $1 > 0$  in class; this problem gives an alternative proof). Suppose  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$  is an ordered field, and  $a \in \mathbb{F}$ . Prove: If  $a \neq 0$  then  $a^2 > 0$ . Conclude that  $1 > 0$ . [You can use Theorem 1.3.6, which says that  $(-a)(-b) = ab$  in any field.]

**Problem 4.** (a) Let  $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \dots, \mathcal{O}_k$  be some finite number of open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Prove that their intersection,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_i$ , is open. (Hint: Use the characterization of open that involves  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Start by taking arbitrary  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_i$ .) (b) Show that the intersection of an infinite number of open sets is not necessarily open by finding  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1 + \frac{1}{n})$ . (Justify your answer!)

**Problem 5.** Consider the **unbounded** closed interval  $[0, \infty)$ . Find an open cover of this interval that has no finite subcover. (Prove your answer!)

**Problem 6** (Problem 1.4.3 from the textbook). Suppose that  $\{\mathcal{O}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$  is an open cover of the interval  $[0, 1)$ . Suppose furthermore that  $1 \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{O}_\alpha$ . Prove that there is finite subcover of  $[0, 1)$  from  $\{\mathcal{O}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ . [This question tests your understanding of the proof of the Heine-Borel Theorem.]

**Problem 7.** Let  $f(x)$  be a real-valued function that is defined on an interval  $I$ . We say that  $f$  is bounded above on  $I$  if there is a number  $M$  such that  $f(x) < M$  for all  $x \in I$ .

Suppose that  $f(x)$  is defined on the bounded, closed interval  $[a, b]$ . Suppose that for every  $x \in [a, b]$ , there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $f$  is bounded above on the interval  $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$ . Use the Heine-Borel theorem to prove that  $f$  is bounded above on  $[a, b]$ . (Hint: Compare this an example about functions that was done in class.)