

## Sections 2.7 and 2.8

### Logical statements III

## Definition

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be statements. The statement “*there exists*  $P$  such that  $Q$ ” is true if there is at least one case where  $P$  is true and  $Q$  is true. The statement is false if  $P$  is false whenever  $Q$  is true.

## Definition

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be statements. The statement “*there exists*  $P$  such that  $Q$ ” is true if there is at least one case where  $P$  is true and  $Q$  is true. The statement is false if  $P$  is false whenever  $Q$  is true.

Examples:

- 1 There exists an integer  $n$  for which  $2n$  is an integer.

True (in fact, true for all integers).

## Definition

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be statements. The statement “*there exists*  $P$  such that  $Q$ ” is true if there is at least one case where  $P$  is true and  $Q$  is true. The statement is false if  $P$  is false whenever  $Q$  is true.

Examples:

- ① There exists an integer  $n$  for which  $2n$  is an integer.  
True (in fact, true for all integers).
- ② There exists an integer  $n$  for which  $n/2$  is an integer.  
True (for some, though not all, integers).

## Definition

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be statements. The statement “*there exists*  $P$  such that  $Q$ ” is true if there is at least one case where  $P$  is true and  $Q$  is true. The statement is false if  $P$  is false whenever  $Q$  is true.

Examples:

- ① There exists an integer  $n$  for which  $2n$  is an integer.  
True (in fact, true for all integers).
- ② There exists an integer  $n$  for which  $n/2$  is an integer.  
True (for some, though not all, integers).
- ③ There exists an integer  $n$  for which  $n + \frac{1}{2}$  is an integer.  
False (for all integers).

Contrast with if-then statements.

- ① There exists an integer  $n$  for which  $2n$  is an integer. True.  
If  $n$  is an integer, then  $2n$  is an integer. True.
- ② There exists an integer  $n$  for which  $n/2$  is an integer. True.  
If  $n$  is an integer, then  $n/2$  is an integer. False.
- ③ There exists an integer  $n$  for which  $n + \frac{1}{2}$  is an integer. False.  
If  $n$  is an integer, then  $n + \frac{1}{2}$  is an integer. False.

Set theoretic interpretation.

- ① There exists an integer  $n$  for which  $2n$  is an integer. True.  
 $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : 2n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \mathbb{Z}$
- ② There exists an integer  $n$  for which  $n/2$  is an integer. True.  
 $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n/2 \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{2m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  (the set of even integers)
- ③ There exists an integer  $n$  for which  $n + \frac{1}{2}$  is an integer. False.  
 $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \emptyset$

Set theoretic interpretation.

- ① There exists an integer  $n$  for which  $2n$  is an integer. True.  
 $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : 2n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \mathbb{Z}$
- ② There exists an integer  $n$  for which  $n/2$  is an integer. True.  
 $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n/2 \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{2m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  (the set of even integers)
- ③ There exists an integer  $n$  for which  $n + \frac{1}{2}$  is an integer. False.  
 $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \emptyset$

A there-exists statement is true if and only if the “solution set” is non-empty.



## Definition

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be statements. The statement “ $Q$  *for all*  $P$ ” (also “*for all*  $P$ , [we have]  $Q$ ”) is equivalent to the statement “if  $P$ , then  $Q$ ”.

## Definition

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be statements. The statement “ $Q$  *for all*  $P$ ” (also “*for all*  $P$ , [we have]  $Q$ ”) is equivalent to the statement “if  $P$ , then  $Q$ ”.

Examples:

- 1 The number  $2n$  is an integer for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  
For all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the number  $2n$  is an integer.  
If  $n$  is an integer, then  $2n$  is an integer. True.

## Definition

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be statements. The statement “ $Q$  *for all*  $P$ ” (also “*for all*  $P$ , [we have]  $Q$ ”) is equivalent to the statement “if  $P$ , then  $Q$ ”.

Examples:

- ① The number  $2n$  is an integer for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  
For all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the number  $2n$  is an integer.  
If  $n$  is an integer, then  $2n$  is an integer. True.
- ② The number  $n/2$  is an integer for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  
For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the number  $n/2$  is an integer.  
If  $n$  is an integer, then  $n/2$  is an integer. False.

## Definition

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be statements. The statement “ $Q$  *for all*  $P$ ” (also “*for all*  $P$ , [we have]  $Q$ ”) is equivalent to the statement “if  $P$ , then  $Q$ ”.

Examples:

- ① The number  $2n$  is an integer for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  
For all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the number  $2n$  is an integer.  
If  $n$  is an integer, then  $2n$  is an integer. True.
- ② The number  $n/2$  is an integer for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  
For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the number  $n/2$  is an integer.  
If  $n$  is an integer, then  $n/2$  is an integer. False.
- ③ The number  $n + \frac{1}{2}$  is an integer for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  
For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the number  $n + \frac{1}{2}$  is an integer.  
If  $n$  is an integer, then  $n + \frac{1}{2}$  is an integer. False.

<i>Existential quantifier</i>	$\exists$	“there exists”
<i>Universal quantifier</i>	$\forall$	“for all” “for each” “for every” “for any”

The symbol  $\forall$  is ambiguous. Refrain from using  $\forall$  in formal writing. Write out the words instead.

<i>Existential quantifier</i>	$\exists$	"there exists"
<i>Universal quantifier</i>	$\forall$	"for all" "for each" "for every" "for any"

The symbol  $\forall$  is ambiguous. Refrain from using  $\forall$  in formal writing. Write out the words instead.

Everyone sat on the couch.

Each person sat on the couch.

<i>Existential quantifier</i>	$\exists$	“there exists”
<i>Universal quantifier</i>	$\forall$	“for all” “for each” “for every” “for any”

The symbol  $\forall$  is ambiguous. Refrain from using  $\forall$  in formal writing. Write out the words instead.

Everyone (together) sat on the couch (as one).

Each person (individually) sat on the couch (at some point).

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists an  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D < n$ .



For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists an  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D < n$ .  
If  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then there exists an  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D < n$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists an  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D < n$ .  
If  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then there exists an  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D < n$ .

This statement is false if “ $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ” is true, but “there exists an  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D < n$ ” is false.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists an  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D < n$ .

If  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then there exists an  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D < n$ .

This statement is false if “ $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ” is true, but “there exists an  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D < n$ ” is false.

Given  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is it possible to find a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  that is less than  $n$ ?

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists an  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D < n$ .

If  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then there exists an  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D < n$ .

This statement is false if “ $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ” is true, but “there exists an  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D < n$ ” is false.

Given  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is it possible to find a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  that is less than  $n$ ?

In general, no. If  $n = -2$ , then no such  $D$  exists.

(The original statement is false.)

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$ .  
If  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then there exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$ .

If  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then there exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$ .

This statement is false if “ $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ” is true, but “there exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$ ” is false.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$ .

If  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then there exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$ .

This statement is false if “ $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ” is true, but “there exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$ ” is false.

Given  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is it possible to find a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  that is greater than  $n$ ?



For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$ .

If  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then there exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$ .

This statement is false if “ $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ” is true, but “there exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$ ” is false.

Given  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is it possible to find a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  that is greater than  $n$ ?

Yes. Given  $n$ , let  $D = |n| + 1$ .

(The original statement is true.)

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$ .

True. Given  $n$ , let  $D = |n| + 1$ .

$$-3 < 4$$

$$5 < 6$$

$$10 < 11$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$ .

True. Given  $n$ , let  $D = |n| + 1$ .

$$-3 < 4$$

$$5 < 6$$

$$10 < 11$$

The value  $D$  depends on  $n$ . There does not exist a number  $D$  that works for all  $n$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$ .

True. Given  $n$ , let  $D = |n| + 1$ .

$$-3 < 4$$

$$5 < 6$$

$$10 < 11$$

The value  $D$  depends on  $n$ . There does not exist a number  $D$  that works for all  $n$ .

There exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Given  $D \in \mathbb{N}$ , is  $D > n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ?

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$ .

True. Given  $n$ , let  $D = |n| + 1$ .

$$-3 < 4$$

$$5 < 6$$

$$10 < 11$$

The value  $D$  depends on  $n$ . There does not exist a number  $D$  that works for all  $n$ .

There exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (False.)

Given  $D \in \mathbb{N}$ , is  $D > n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ?

No. Given  $D$ , we have  $D \not> D + 1$  and  $D + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$ . (True.)

The value  $D$  depends on  $n$ .

There exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sin(n) < D$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D > n$ . (True.)

The value  $D$  depends on  $n$ .

There exists a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sin(n) < D$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

True,

$$\sin(n) < 2$$

so  $D = 2$  satisfies this statement.

In this case,  $D$  is independent of  $n$ . The same number  $D$  works for every  $n$ .

## Homework.

- 1 Read Sections 2.7 and 2.8.
- 2 Write up the following exercises:  
Section 2.7: 1–6. If a statement is false, explain why (give an example, if possible).



## New $\text{\LaTeX}$ commands

$\forall$  `\forall`

$\exists$  `\exists`