Key Points

- terms and concepts predicate, predicate logic, domain of discourse, *n*-place predicate, open statement, free variable, bound variable
- the elements of predicate logic
 - predicates
 - quantifiers
 - additional rules

CPSC 229: Foundations of Computation . Spring 2024

- the notions of *tautology* and *logical equivalence* applied to predicate logic
- applying predicate logic to English statements

Predicates and Propositions

- *P*(*a*) stands for the proposition formed when predicate *P* is applied to entity *a*
 - a must belong to the domain of discourse for P
 - similar to the notion of parameter types for functions in Java
- an *n*-place predicate involves *n* entities

Wilbur can fly – fly(p) Alice is friends with Bob – friends(a,b) Alice gave Bob a book – gave(a,b,obj) Alice bought a plant from Bob for \$10 – bought(a,obj,b,price)

P(a) is a proposition, and the rules of propositional logic apply

 $friends(a,b) \leftrightarrow friends(b,a)$

CPSC 229: Foundations of Computation • Spring 2024

Predicate Logic

 so far, our propositions have been blanket statements that are true or false

Roses are red. Pigs can fly.

 predicate logic allows for true/false statements about particular entities

> This rose is red. Wilbur can fly. Some roses are red. All roses are red. No pigs can fly.

- the *predicate* is the property part

is red can fly

Quantifiers

CPSC 229: Foundations of Computation • Spring 2024

- quantifiers allow the application of predicates to more than individual entities
- the universal quantifier ∀ expresses "for all" or "every"
 ∀xP(x) is true if and only if P(a) is true for every entity a in the domain of discourse

All roses are red. is red is true for every rose.

- the existential quantifier ∃ expresses "there exists", "some", "for at least one"
 - $-\exists x P(x)$ is true if and only if there's at least one entity *a* in the domain of discourse for which P(a) is true

55

Some roses are red. is red is true for at least one rose.

CPSC 229: Foundations of Computation • Spring 2024





Rules of Predicate Logic Double negation DeMorgan's $\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$ $\neg (\forall x P(x)) \equiv \exists x (\neg P(x))$ laws for Excluded middle $p \lor \neg p \equiv \mathbb{T}$ $\neg \left(\exists x P(x)\right) \equiv \forall x (\neg P(x))$ predicate Contradiction $p \wedge \neg p \equiv \mathbb{F}$ $\forall x \forall y Q(x, y) \equiv \forall y \forall x Q(x, y)$ logic Identity laws $\mathbb{T} \wedge p \equiv p$ $\exists x \exists y Q(x,y) \equiv \exists y \exists x Q(x,y)$ $\mathbb{F} \vee p \equiv p$ Idempotent laws $p \wedge p \equiv p$ $p \vee p \equiv p$ Let \mathcal{P} and Q be predicate logic Commutative laws $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$ formulas containing predicate $p \lor q \equiv q \lor p$ variables. Associative laws $(p \land q) \land r \equiv p \land (q \land r)$ $(p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r)$ \mathcal{P} is a *tautology* if is it true Distributive laws $p \land (q \lor r) \equiv (p \land q) \lor (p \land r)$ whenever all of its predicate $p \lor (q \land r) \equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)$ variables are replaced by actual DeMorgan's laws $\neg (p \land q) \equiv (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$ predicates $\neg (p \lor q) \equiv (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$ \mathcal{P} is logically equivalent to Q $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \lor q$ (written $\mathcal{P} == Q$) if $\mathcal{P} \leftrightarrow Q$ is a $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (\neg p \lor q) \land (\neg q \lor p)$ tautology

a) $\neg \forall x (\neg P(x))$ c) $\neg \forall z (P(z) \rightarrow Q(z))$ e) $\neg \forall x \exists y P(x, y)$ g) $\neg \exists y (P(y) \leftrightarrow Q(y))$	$ \mathbf{b}) \neg \exists x (P(x) \land Q(x)) \mathbf{d}) \neg ((\forall x P(x)) \land \forall y Q(y))) \mathbf{f}) \neg \exists x (R(x) \land \forall y S(x, y)) \mathbf{b}) \neg (\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow \exists y O(x, y)))) $
your answer, the \neg operator a) $\neg \exists n (\forall s C(s, n))$ b) $\neg \exists n (\forall s (L(s, n) \rightarrow P($ c) $\neg \exists n (\forall s (L(s, n) \rightarrow (\exists:$ d) $\neg \exists n (\forall s (L(s, n) \rightarrow (\exists:$	r should be applied only to individual predicates. $\begin{split} s)))\\ \pi \exists y \exists z Q(x,y,z)))).\\ \pi \exists y \exists z (s = xyz \wedge R(x,y) \wedge T(y) \wedge U(x,y,z)))). \end{split}$