

## Infinites

- a set  $A$  is *countably infinite* if there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $A$
- a set  $A$  is *countable* if it is either finite or countably infinite
  - it is possible in principle to make a list of the elements of  $A$
- a set  $A$  is *uncountable* otherwise
  - it is impossible to make a list of the elements of  $A$

## Infinites

- $\mathbb{Z}$  (integer) is countably infinite
 
$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & -2 & 3 & -3 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & \dots \end{array}$$
- $\mathbb{Q}$  (rationals) is countably infinite

$$\left( \frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{1}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{1}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{4}{1}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{5}{1}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{2}{5}, \dots \right)$$

10. Show that the set  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is countable.

- use a diagonalization argument

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (0,0) & (0,1) & (0,2) & \dots \\ (1,0) & (1,1) & (1,2) & \dots \\ (2,0) & (2,1) & (2,2) & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{array}$$

$$(0,0), (0,1), (1,0), (0,2), (1,1), (2,0), (0,3), (1,2), (2,1), (3,0), \dots$$

a) Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are countably infinite sets. Show that  $A \cup B$  is countably infinite.

## Infinites

- $\mathbb{R}$  (reals) is not countably infinite

```
0.90398937249879561297927654857945...
0.12349342094059875980239230834549...
0.22400043298436234709323279989579...
0.50000000000000000000000000000000...
0.77743449234234876990120909480009...
0.7775555558888889498888980000111...
0.12345678888888888888888800000000...
0.34835440009848712712123940320577...
0.93473244447900498340999990948900...
```

for any such list, a new number not in the listed can be constructed by picking a number not in bold for each column

- $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  (irrationals) is not countably infinite

**Theorem 2.9.** *Suppose that  $X$  is an uncountable set, and that  $K$  is a countable subset of  $X$ . Then the set  $X \setminus K$  is uncountable.*

## Infinites

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $X$  be any set. Then there is no one-to-one correspondence between  $X$  and  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ .*

- for finite sets,  $|\mathcal{P}(X)| = 2^{|X|} > |X|$
- the “larger” relationship holds for infinite sets too
- can construct an infinite series of increasingly larger infinities with  $\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})), \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}))), \dots$