## Equivalence of DFAs and NDFAs

- every language accepted by a DFA is accepted by an NDFA
  - a DFA is (essentially) an NDFA NDFA does not require multiple or  $\varepsilon$ -transitions, and for  $\delta(q,a) = q'$ ,  $\partial(q,a) = \{q'\}$
- every language accepted by an NDFA is accepted by a DFA

**Theorem 3.2.** Every language that is accepted by an NFA is accepted by a DFA.

 proof idea: give an algorithm for constructing an equivalent DFA from an NDFA (then prove the algorithm correct)

## NFA to DFA

CPSC 229: Foundations of Computation . Spring 2024

- $q_0$  corresponds to  $\partial^*(p_0, \varepsilon)$
- repeatedly
  - find a state *q* that has been added to *D* but whose out-transitions have not yet been added
  - for each input symbol a, look at all of N's states that can be reached from any one of the p<sub>1</sub>, p<sub>2</sub>, ..., p<sub>n</sub> corresponding to q by consuming a (include ε-transitions)
    - add state  $q' = \partial^*(p_1, a) \cup ... \cup \partial^*(p_n, a)$  if not already present
    - add transition  $\delta(q,a) = q'$  to D
- accepting states of D are those corresponding to at least one of N's



## Equivalence of DFAs and NDFAs

**Theorem 3.2.** Every language that is accepted by an NFA is accepted by a DFA.

- let NFA  $N = (P, \Sigma, p_o, \partial, F)$  and DFA  $D = (Q, \Sigma, q_o, \delta, F)$
- idea the states of the DFA D correspond to sets of states in the NDFA N
- $q_0$  corresponds to  $\partial^*(p_0, \varepsilon)$
- repeatedly
  - find a state q that has been added to D but whose out-transitions have not yet been added
  - for each input symbol *a*, look at all of *N*'s states that can be reached from any one of the  $p_1, p_2, ..., p_n$  corresponding to *q* by consuming *a* (include ε-transitions)
    - add state  $q' = \partial^*(p_1, a) \cup ... \cup \partial^*(p_n, a)$  if not already present
    - add transition  $\delta(q,a) = q'$  to D
- accepting states of *D* are those corresponding to at least one of *N*'s





**Theorem 3.3.** Every language generated by a regular expression can be recognized by an NFA.

- proof idea
  - definition of regular expression is recursive, so utilize proof by induction
- proof sketch

CPSC 229: Foundations of Computation • Spring 2024

- simplest regular expressions are Φ, ε, a build NFA for each of these
- regular expression operators are |, •, \* build NFA for each of these