

Write strings generated by the following grammar. Illustrate the different possibilities.

$$\begin{aligned}
 E &::= T [ + T ] \dots \\
 T &::= F [ * F ] \dots \\
 F &::= "( E )" \mid x \mid y \mid z
 \end{aligned}$$

Answer:  $x$ ,  $(x + y * x)$ ,  $((x * y) + x * z)$  — these show the different operators and how expressions can be nested.

Discussion: “Illustrate the different possibilities” means to cover the different rules to show the various options. Some examples:

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| $E \implies T$<br>$\implies F$<br>$\implies x$ | $E \implies T$<br>$\implies F$<br>$\implies "( E )"$<br>$\implies "( T + T )"$<br>$\implies "( F + T )"$<br>$\implies "( F + F * F )"$<br>$\implies "( x + F * F )"$<br>$\implies "( x + y * F )"$<br>$\implies "( x + y * z )"$ | $E \implies T$<br>$\implies F$<br>$\implies "( E )"$<br>$\implies "( T + T )"$<br>$\implies "( F + T )"$<br>$\implies "( "( E )" + T )"$<br>$\implies "( "( T )" + T )"$<br>$\implies "( "( F * F )" + T )"$<br>$\implies "( "( x * F )" + T )"$<br>$\implies "( "( x * y )" + T )"$<br>$\implies "( "( x * y )" + F * F )"$<br>$\implies "( "( x * y )" + x * F )"$<br>$\implies "( "( x * y )" + x * z )"$ |
|--|--|--|

---

Write the following BNF grammar using the standard context-free grammar notation.

$$\begin{aligned} E &::= T [ + T ] \dots \\ T &::= F [ * F ] \dots \\ F &::= "( E )" \mid x \mid y \mid z \end{aligned}$$

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} E &\longrightarrow TA \\ A &\longrightarrow +TA \\ A &\longrightarrow \epsilon \\ T &\longrightarrow FB \\ B &\longrightarrow +FB \\ B &\longrightarrow \epsilon \\ F &\longrightarrow "( E )" \\ F &\longrightarrow x \\ F &\longrightarrow y \\ F &\longrightarrow z \end{aligned}$$

Discussion: The rule  $F ::= "( E )" \mid x \mid y \mid z$  expresses four alternatives for  $F$ :

$$\begin{aligned} F &\longrightarrow "( E )" \\ F &\longrightarrow x \\ F &\longrightarrow y \\ F &\longrightarrow z \end{aligned}$$

For  $E ::= T [ + T ] \dots$ , there are two possibilities —  $E \longrightarrow T$  and something which can produce repetitions of  $+ T$  following a  $T$ . Repetitions takes the form  $A \longrightarrow +TA$  — a new non-terminal is needed besides  $E$  because of the initial  $T$  in  $T [ + T ] \dots$ .  $A \longrightarrow \epsilon$  ends the repetition. Finally, the “following a  $T$  part” comes from a rule  $E \longrightarrow TA$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E &\longrightarrow TA \\ A &\longrightarrow +TA \\ A &\longrightarrow \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

( $E \longrightarrow T$  isn't needed because  $E \implies T$  can be derived from  $E \longrightarrow TA$  and  $A \longrightarrow \epsilon$ .)

The same strategy can be used for the final BNF rule:

$$\begin{aligned}T &\rightarrow FB \\ B &\rightarrow +FB \\ B &\rightarrow \epsilon\end{aligned}$$

---

Show that the following grammar is ambiguous by finding a string that has two left derivations according to the grammar.

$$\begin{aligned} S &\longrightarrow SS \\ S &\longrightarrow aSb \\ S &\longrightarrow bSa \\ S &\longrightarrow \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Answer:  $aabb$  is a string with two left derivations:

$$\begin{array}{ll} S \implies aSb & S \implies SS \\ S \implies aaSbb & \implies aSbS \\ S \implies aabb & \implies aaSbbS \\ & \implies aabbS \\ & \implies aabb \end{array}$$

Discussion: The goal is to find two left derivations that lead to the same string, so a strategy is to start off with applying different rules — thus the derivations will be different — and then try to get both derivations to the same string.

Start each derivation with a different rule:

$$S \implies aSb \qquad S \implies bSa$$

But we can see that in the first one, whatever string is derived will start with  $a$  and end with  $b$ , while the opposite is true in the second derivation. These derivations will never result in the same string.

Try something else —

$$S \implies aSb \qquad S \implies SS$$

Since the first derivation will result in a string starting with  $a$  and ending with  $b$ , we need to aim for that in the second derivation as well.

$$\begin{array}{ll} S \implies aSb & S \implies SS \\ & \implies aSbS \end{array}$$

Now apply the same steps to each derivation.

$$\begin{array}{ll} S \Rightarrow aSb & S \Rightarrow SS \\ S \Rightarrow aaSbb & \Rightarrow aSbS \\ S \Rightarrow aabb & \Rightarrow aaSbbS \\ & \Rightarrow aabbS \end{array}$$

Finally, eliminate the final  $S$  on the right side.

$$\begin{array}{ll} S \Rightarrow aSb & S \Rightarrow SS \\ S \Rightarrow aaSbb & \Rightarrow aSbS \\ S \Rightarrow aabb & \Rightarrow aaSbbS \\ & \Rightarrow aabbS \\ & \Rightarrow aabb \end{array}$$

---

Find a left derivation for  $(x + y) * z$  in the following grammar.

$$E \longrightarrow E + T$$

$$E \longrightarrow T$$

$$T \longrightarrow T * F$$

$$T \longrightarrow F$$

$$F \longrightarrow (E)$$

$$F \longrightarrow x$$

$$F \longrightarrow y$$

$$F \longrightarrow z$$

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} E &\Longrightarrow T \\ &\Longrightarrow T * F \\ &\Longrightarrow F * F \\ &\Longrightarrow (E) * F \\ &\Longrightarrow (E + T) * F \\ &\Longrightarrow (T + T) * F \\ &\Longrightarrow (F + T) * F \\ &\Longrightarrow (x + T) * F \\ &\Longrightarrow (x + F) * F \\ &\Longrightarrow (x + y) * F \\ &\Longrightarrow (x + y) * z \end{aligned}$$

Discussion: What is interesting here is not the derivation itself, but the process — the first decision, for example, is between  $E \longrightarrow E + T$  and  $\longrightarrow T$ . Which to choose? Just looking at the first symbol  $(x + y) * z$  isn't enough.