Sort an array of *n* numbers.

### Establish the problem.

specifications

Task: sort in increasing (non-decreasing) order

Input: array of *n* numbers

Output: array of *n* numbers, sorted

examples

## Identify avenues of attack.

targets

brute force: selection sort  $Th(n^2)$ 

approach

Divide-and-conquer.

• paradigms and patterns

Paradigm: divide-and-conquer.

Patterns: easy split: split array into first half, second half / friends sort each half / combine two sorted lists

easy merge: split array into smaller things, bigger things / friends sort each half / friends return first half of sorted list (smaller things), second half (bigger things)

# Define the algorithm.

• size

n – number of numbers to sort

• generalize / define subproblems

Task: sort A[low..high] (inclusive) in increasing (non-decreasing) order

Input: array A of n numbers to sort, range: low, high

Output: A[low..high] is sorted

- base case(s)
- n=0: low=high+1  $\rightarrow$  already sorted! nothing to sort! yay! return

 $n=1: low=high \rightarrow already sorted! yay! return$ 

• main case

// split into smaller, bigger

 $\mathsf{pivot} \gets \mathsf{A}[\mathsf{low}]$ 

rearrange elements in A[low..high] so that the first things are < pivot, then the pivot, then > pivot

// hand off to friends

sort(A,low,pivot slot-1) to one friend

sort(A,pivot slot+1,high) to other friend

// magic! friends already sorted it! (if they are sorting within the first part and second part of A[low..high])

- top level
  - initial subproblem

sort(A,0,n-1)

- ∘ setup
  - wrapup
- special cases

 $n=0 \rightarrow done!$  no subproblem

duplicates  $\rightarrow$  don't handle pivot being duplicate – change < to <=

algorithm

## Show termination and correctness.

- termination
  - making progress
- argue: why friends always a smaller prob: we don't include the pivot in what the friends get
  - the end is reached

base cases cover 0, 1 element, with  $n \ge 2$  then friends get at least 0 elements

- correctness
  - establish the base case(s)
- n=0, n=1  $\rightarrow$  nothing to sort, already sorted

• show the main case

A[low..high] is arranged into < pivot, pivot, > pivot which holds even when < and > parts are sorted

• final answer

0..n-1 covers the entire array, so sort(A,0,n-1) sorts the whole array

#### **Determine efficiency.**

- implementation
- time and space

T(n) = 2 T(n/2) + ?? - best case

T(n) = T(n-1) + ?? - worst case

• room for improvement