This homework is due by the end of the day on Friday, September 7 Problems are mostly on Sections 1.3 and 1.4, not including Bolzano-Weirstrass.

Problem 1. Prove using only the definition of real numbers as Dedekind cuts and the definitions of + and < in terms of Dedekind cuts: If $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha < \beta$, then $\alpha + \delta < \beta + \delta$.

Problem 2 (From Problem 1.3.7 in the textbook). Suppose that $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$ is a field, and $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}$. We say that S is a subfield of \mathbb{F} if it is a field under the same addition and multiplication as \mathbb{F} . To show that S is a subfield of \mathbb{F} , it is enough to show that $0 \in S$, $1 \in S$, and S is closed under addition, multiplication, taking additive inverses, and taking multiplicative inverses.

Let $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] = \{r + s\sqrt{2} \mid r, s \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. Show that $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ is a subfield of \mathbb{R} . (Note: Remember that r and s can be zero in $r + s\sqrt{2}$.)

Problem 3 (Problem 1.3.11 from the textbook). Let $(F, +, \cdot)$ be an ordered field. Use the definition of x < y and the order axioms to prove the transitive property of <. That is, show that for any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}$, if a < b and b < c, then a < c. [Note: Since \mathbb{F} is not necessarily \mathbb{R} , you can't use common facts that you know about \mathbb{R} . You can only use the actual definition and axioms.]

Problem 4. (a) Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_k$ be some finite number of open subsets of \mathbb{R} . Prove that their intersection, $\bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_i$, is open. (Hint: Use the characterization of open that involves $\varepsilon > 0$. Start by taking arbitrary $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_i$.)

(b) Show that the intersection of an infinite number of open sets is not necessarily open by finding $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(-1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)$. (Justify your answer!)

Problem 5. Consider the **unbounded** closed interval $[0, \infty)$. Find an open cover of this interval that has no finite subcover. (This problem shows that the hypothesis that the interval is bounded cannot be removed from the Heine-Borel Theorem. Use a simple example, but justify your answer!)

Problem 6 (Problem 1.4.3 from the textbook). Suppose that $\{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\}$ is an open cover of the interval [0, 1). Suppose furthermore that $1 \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{O}_{\alpha}$. Prove that there is finite subcover of [0, 1) from $\{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\}$. [This question tests your understanding of the proof of the Heine-Borel Theorem.]

Problem 7. Let f(x) be a real-valued function that is defined on an interval I. We say that f is bounded above on I if there is a number M such that f(x) < M for all $x \in I$.

Suppose that f(x) is defined on the bounded, closed interval [a, b]. Suppose that for every $x \in [a, b]$, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that f is bounded above on the interval $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$. Use the Heine-Borel theorem to prove that f is bounded above on [a, b]. (Hint: Compare this to an example about functions that was done in class.)